PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 2 2 MAR 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTALITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SJB/P211061WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form BOTABLE A /410							
			See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No. PCT/GB2005/000734	International filing dat 23.02.2005	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mon	ith/year)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and	IIPC						
A61B17/17								
Applicant								
DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LTD et al								
	•		*	T25				
 This report is the international preling Authority under Article 35 and trans 	smilled to the applica	in according to Afficie 36	International Prelimin	ary Examining				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including	this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, compris	ing:						
a. \square sent to the applicant and to	the International Bur	eau) a total of sheets, as	follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of Administrative Instructions).								
☐ sheets which supersede beyond the disclosure in Supplemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but we the international ap	which this Authority considuation as filed, as indication	lers contain an amend ated in item 4 of Box N	ment that goes lo. I and the				
b. (sent to the International Bursequence listing and/or table Box Relating to Seguence Li) ; containing a Supplemental				
Box Relating to Sequence Li	sting (see Section 80	02 of the Administrative In	structions).	- I				
4. This report contains indications relat	ting to the following i	tems:	,					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion	on							
☐ Box No. II Priority			•					
Box No. III Non-establishmen	rd to novelty, inventive st	ep and industrial annli	cahility					
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of inv	ention	•	- r - ma madema appin	capinty				
Box No. V Reasoned stateme applicability; citation	ent under Article 35(2 ons and explanations	2) with regard to novelty, in supporting such stateme	nventive step or indust nt	trial				
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents		•		_				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observation	ns on the internation	al application	11:					
Date of submission of the demand								
sate of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this r	eport					
15.12.2005			•					
		21.03.2006						
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized Officer						
reliminary examining authority: European Patent Office				outsches Petentame				
D-80298 Munich		Held, G	•					
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 e Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	pmu d			THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P				
		Telephone No. +49 89 2399) - 2248	Hite . Ouice ouropos				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000734

_										
	Box No. I Basis of the repo	ort								
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was									
	☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:									
	☐ international search (ur☐ publication of the intern☐ international preliminar	nder Rules 12.3 and 2 national application (ur	3.1(b)) ider Rule 12.4)							
2.	With regard to the elements * of have been furnished to the receive report as "originally filed" and a	of the international app	lication, this rep	ortic bessel	on <i>(replace</i> cle 14 are l	ment shee referred to	ts which in this			
	_	. ·								
	Description, Pages									
	1-15	as originally filed					. •			
	Claims, Numbers									
	1-18	as originally filed								
	Drawings, Sheets									
	1/5-5/5	as originally filed		٠,						
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - se	e Supplementa	l Box Relating	g to Seque	nce Listing				
3.	☐ The amendments have resi	ulted in the cancellatio	n of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	•				
	the description, pages			,						
	☐ the claims, Nos.			•	, ,					
	the drawings, sheets/figs	S				r				
	☐ the sequence listing (specific any table(s) related to see	9CITY):				K				
	any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specif	<i>y)</i> :			φ ¹⁰	111			
l. [☐ This report has been establi had not been made, since they h Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	lave Deen Considered	ne amendments to go beyond th	annexed to to the disclosure	his report a as filed, as	and listed b indicated i	elow n the			
	\Box the description, pages					4				
	☐ the claims, Nos.									
	the drawings, sheets/figs	- a i.f).			ų:·	1.50 - 2.50				
	☐ the sequence listing <i>(spe</i> ☐ any table(s) related to se	PCITY):	٠,			. 4				
		•	•			1.0				
,	* If item 4 applies, so	me or all of the	se sheets ma	av be mark	ed "anno	randod "				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000734

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

1 Re Item V.

11

1.1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2002/193801 A1 (MARCHIONE ANDREAS ET AL) 19 December 2002

(2002-12-19)

D2: US 5 597 379 A (HAINES ET AL) 28 January 1997 (1997-01-28)

1.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of independent claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A drill guide assembly for determining the axis for drilling a bore in a bone comprising - a drill guide sleeve,

- a carriage in which the drill guide sleeve is mounted towards a first end thereof so that the angular orientation of the drill guide sleeve relative to the carriage can be adjusted,
- a platform which can be fastened to the bone, which includes at least three feet (see document D1, Figures and paragraph 0021).

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the carriage includes at least one threaded angle-adjustment screw and the platform includes at least one threaded translation-adjustment screw.

The problem to be solved by said adjustment screws is to precisely adjust the drill guide.

Document D2 shows an adjustment screw to set the distance between the guide body 28 and the referencing component 70 (see D2: Fig, 1 and column 7, lines 58 - 67). Moreover, the use of adjustment screws in order to precisely adjust two parts and self locking threads are very well known in the area of mechanical engineering. Thus, the skilled person would copy such features and would implement them into the D1 device. Therefore, the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

مرلما

1.3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-18

Dependent claims 2-18 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since they are merely an aggregation of already known features or are considered as one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.

2 Re Item VII Certain defects in the international application

- 2.1 The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2.2 Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).